

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

KENTUCKY CABLE TELEVISION )  
ASSOCIATION, INC., COMPLAINTANT ) CASE NO. 8973

SOUTH CENTRAL BELL TELEPHONE  
COMPANY, INC., DEFENDANT

KCTA's complaint focused on SCB's implementation of the pole attachment and conduit use rate methodology outlined by the

Commission in Administrative Case No. 251, The Adoption of a Standard Methodology for Establishing Rates for CATV Pole Attachments, and Administrative Case No. 251-18, The CATV Pole Attachment Tariff of South Central Bell Telephone Company. In addition to SCB's implementation of the Commission's rate methodology, KCTA was critical of certain generic features of the Commission's rate methodology.

At the formal conference, KCTA was advised that the rate methodology outlined by the Commission was not subject to reconsideration in this case. Furthermore, upon being instructed to attempt to reach a settlement of the complaint, SCB and KCTA were advised that any proposed settlement of the complaint should be within the guidelines established by the Commission in Administrative Cases No. 251 and 251-18.

The joint motion filed by SCB and KCTA for approval of a partial settlement of the complaint does not address any of the issues raised by KCTA and was not accompanied by any supporting information. The joint motion simply requests that the Commission:

1. Approve pole and anchor attachment rates as stated in Exhibit A.
2. Dismiss KCTA's complaint, except insofar as it concerns conduit use rates.
3. Schedule a hearing in December, 1984, on the subject of conduit use rates.


SCB and KCTA acknowledge in the joint motion that they were unable to agree upon a rate formula and that the proposed pole and anchor attachment rates "will be acceptable to both parties for a period ending March 31, 1987." Since the proposed settlement is not based on any rate formula, the Commission can make no comparison with the guidelines it established in Administrative Cases No. 251 and 251-18. Furthermore, since the proposed settlement would expire in 1987, presumably, the Commission would be presented with the same situation that it is now asked to deal with. Based on these considerations, the Commission will deny the joint motion for approval of a partial settlement of the complaint and schedule a hearing in a separate Order at which both parties will be expected to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.


Orders


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the joint motion filed by SCB and KCTA for approval of a partial settlement of KCTA's complaint be and it hereby is denied.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of December, 1984.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
Chairman

  
Vice Chairman

  
Commissioner

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary